

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10)

Applicant(s): Ping Xie et al.

Docket No.

15436.249.9.2

Application No.

10/659,987

Filing Date

09/11/2003

Examiner

Mohammud Yunus Sikder

Customer No.

022913

Group Art Unit

2872

Invention: OPTICAL FILTER FOR WAVELENGTH DIVISION MULTIPLIED OPTICAL SIGNALS

NOV 12 2004

I hereby certify that the following correspondence:

Issue Fee Transmittal Letter (1 pg., in triplicate); PTO Form PTOL-85B transmitting payment of Issue Fee (1 pg., in duplicate); PTO-2038 Credit Card Payment Form for \$1,373.00 (1 pg.); Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance (1 pg.); Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail Label No. EV 462 384 200 US (1 pg.); Postcard

(Identify type of correspondence)

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

12 November 2004*(Date)*ERIC L. MASCHOFF*(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)**(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)*EV 462 384 200 US*("Express Mail" Mailing Label Number)***Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.**

TRANSMITTAL OF PAYMENT OF ISSUE FEE (Large Entity)
(37 C.F.R. 1.311)

Docket No.
15436.249.9.2

Applicant(s): Ping Xie et al.

Application No. 10/659,987	Filing Date 09/11/2003	Examiner Mohammad Yunus Sikder	Customer No. 022913	Group Art Unit 2872	Confirmation No. 4636
-------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------

Invention: OPTICAL FILTER FOR WAVELENGTH DIVISION MULTIPLIED OPTICAL SIGNALS



Mail Stop Issue Fee
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Transmitted herewith are the following for the above-identified application.

- ☒ Issue Fee Transmittal Form PTOL-85
- ☒ Utility Fee: \$ 1370.00 ☐ Design Fee: _____ ☐ Plant Fee: _____
- ☐ Publication Fee: _____
- ☐ A check in the amount of _____ is attached.
- ☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 23-3178 as described below.
- ☐ Charge the amount of _____
- ☒ Credit any overpayment.
- ☒ Charge any additional fee required.
- ☒ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Eric L. Maschoff

Signature

Dated: 12 November 2004

ERIC L. MASCHOFF
Registration No. 36,596

CC:

Certificate of Transmission by Facsimile
This certificate may only be used if paying
by deposit account.

I certify that this document and authorization to charge deposit account is being facsimile transmitted to the United States and Trademark Office (Fax No. _____) on _____

(Date)

Signature

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

Certificate of Mailing by First Class Mail

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on _____

(Date)

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No: 15436.249.9.2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Ping Xie et al.)
Serial No: 10/659,987) Art Unit
Filed: September 11, 2003) 2872
Confirmation No.: 4636)
For: OPTICAL FILTER FOR WAVELENGTH DIVISION)
MULTIPLIED OPTICAL SIGNALS)
Examiner: Mohammud Yunus Sikder)

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE


Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance as set forth in the communication mailed on August 13, 2004. The Applicant concurs with the Examiner's conclusion that the prior art does not suggest or render obvious the claimed invention. However, Applicant submits that it is the claim as a whole, rather than any particular limitation, that makes each of the claims in the above-identified application allowable. No single limitation should be construed as the reason for allowance of a claim because it is each of the elements of the claim that distinguish the claim from the prior art and make it allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 12 November 2004

By: 
ERIC L. MASCHOFF
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 36,596
Customer No. 022913
Telephone: (801) 533-9800